

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	· FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/730,457	12/08/2003	Bethan O'Neill	ONB-101-A	2921
7590 02/22/2006		EXAMINER		
Thomas D. Helmholdt			BOLES, DEREK	
Young & Basile, P.C. Suite 624			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3001 West Big Beaver Road Troy, MI 48084			3749	
			DATE MAILED: 02/22/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A I' NI)					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/730,457	O'NEILL ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Derek S. Boles	3749					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 December 2003</u> .							
<u> </u>							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5 and 15</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-14 and 16-20</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 December 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)	_						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ratent Application (PTO-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 8, 11 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cohen et al. (3,159,165). See figs. 2 and 7, 40 for the air conditioning unit, 10 for the wall panel of flexible material and 70a for the sleeve.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim(s) 2-4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, -14 and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cohen et al. in view of Meyer et al. (2,939,467). Cohen et al. discloses all of the limitations of the claim(s) except for a flap of flexible material wherein the flap is movable between a storage position and a closed position. Meyer et al. discloses the presence of a flap of flexible material wherein the flap is movable between a storage position and a closed position.

See fig. 3. Hence, one skilled in the art would find it obvious to modify the system of Cohen et al. to include the a flap of flexible material wherein the flap is movable between a storage position and a closed position of Meyer et al. for the purpose of protection against the elements.

Art Unit: 3749

Claims 6, 9, 16 and 18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cohen et al.. It is well-known in the art of HVAC to design a sleeve with an elastic periphery. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the features of a sleeve with an elastic periphery into the system of Cohen for the purpose of protection against the elements.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5 and 15 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12/9/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's arguments, the recitation of frame supported and non-air supported outdoor tent enclosure of flexible material for protecting occupants from at least some elements of nature, the enclosure readily convertible from an erected configuration to a more compact disassembled configuration for transportation has not been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951). In response to applicant's argument that Cohen and Meyer are nonanalogous art, it has been held that a prior art reference must either be in the field of applicant's endeavor or, if not, then be reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned, in order to be relied upon as a basis for rejection of the claimed invention. See *In re*

Art Unit: 3749

Oetiker, 977 F.2d 1443, 24 USPQ2d 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, both references disclose wall panels of flexible material and are concerned with ventilation. In response to applicant's argument that the Cohen reference could not be modified as suggested by the examiner in that the flap of Cohen et al. extends only along the vertical sides and top periphery of the vestibule and thus could not be sealingly engaged by an elastic periphery, the test for obviousness is not whether the features of a secondary reference may be bodily incorporated into the structure of the primary reference; nor is it that the claimed invention must be expressly suggested in any one or all of the references. Rather, the test is what the combined teachings of the references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The provided references are representative of the state of the art that is applicable to

Application/Control Number: 10/730,457

Art Unit: 3749

the applicant's invention. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Derek S. Boles at (571) 272-4872.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D.S.B.

DEREKS. BOLES
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 3700

Page 5

2/15/06